UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,275	07/05/2006	Nancy F. Dean	H0005430.75230 USA	2425
	7590 01/27/201 INTERNATIONAL I	EXAMINER		
PATENT SERV		CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO		
101 COLUMBI P O BOX 2245		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRISTOW	N, NJ 07962-2245	2835		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/27/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		<i>P</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
			10/585,275	DEAN ET AL.	DEAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			xaminer	Art Unit				
			Boris L. Chervinsky	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 07 Dece	emher 2009					
· ·	• •		ction is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for	<i>′</i> —		osecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 July 2006</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	O-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/585,275 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6-22, 24, 25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick et al.

McCormick discloses a heat spreader comprising a base portion 112 having a heat spreading surface comprised of a heat receiving region made of nickel plated copper (metallic coating of claim 3) or copper alloy (col. 2, lines 65-67); a frame portion 110 made of copper or copper alloy (col. 2, lines 39-40) interfacing perimeter portion of the heat spreader and having opening traversing the thickness of the frame portion; the frame portion is in direct contact with the frame portion (claim 10); the thermal conductivity greater than 300 W/mk or 400 W/mk is also disclosed (col. 2, lines 59-61); the circuit board 106, the flip chip 100 are also shown. McCormick discloses the claimed invention except different materials for the heat spreading surface and the frame. It is well known that copper has very good thermal conductivity and widely used for thermally conducting/dissipating elements, therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the same or different materials for the heat spreader and frame since such modification is not proven to be critical for the invention and choice of materials has been held to be within

Application/Control Number: 10/585,275

Art Unit: 2835

the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416. This reason is applied to other claims where several different materials are claimed. With respect to claims 8 and 9, McCormick discloses the claimed invention but does not specifically indicate that thermal expansion is less than 6 ppm/k but it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the thermal expansion limited to claimed number to avoid stress in joined materials. With respect to claim 11, McCormick discloses the claimed invention except diffusion bonding. The diffusion bonding is known method of joining of two different parts and as method step in the article claim does not render novelty as soon as the structure is disclosed. The method steps of claims 15-19 and 25, 27are necessitated by the device structure as disclosed by McCormick et al.

Page 3

3. Claims 4, 5, 23, 26, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCormick et al. in view of Edwards et al.

McCormick discloses the claimed invention except interface materials such as solder or adhesive disposed between the frame and the base portion and between the base portion and the heat generating device. Edwards discloses such materials 41, 45, 28 connecting the frame and the base portion and the base portion and the heat-generating device, therefore it would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use materials as disclosed by Edwards in the device disclosed by McCormick et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,275 Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris L. Chervinsky whose telephone number is 571-272-2039. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jayprakash N. Gandhi can be reached on 571-272-3740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,275 Page 5

Art Unit: 2835

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Boris L. Chervinsky/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2835